June 10, 2020

The Honorable Marco Rubio  
Chairman  
Senate Committee on Small Business and  
Entrepreneurship  
United States Senate  
Washington, DC 20515

The Honorable Ben Cardin  
Ranking Member  
Senate Committee on Small Business and  
Entrepreneurship  
United States Senate  
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Chairman Rubio and Ranking Member Cardin:

On behalf of America’s credit unions, I am writing regarding the hearing entitled, “Implementation of Title I of the CARES Act”. The Credit Union National Association (CUNA) represents America’s credit unions and their 115 million members.

We appreciate Congressional efforts to establish the Paycheck Protection Program (PPP) created by the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security (CARES) Act. PPP has provided loans to many small businesses in need across the United States during these challenging times. Credit unions have used this program to help their member small businesses meet important financial needs.

Credit unions welcomed the opportunity to help Americans by making PPP loans to small businesses that desperately needed help. We understand that rolling out this unique lending program was challenging for the SBA and clearly the product was still being developed as credit unions and other lenders were originating loans. Unfortunately, as was expected, the compressed timeframe in which the PPP was enacted and implemented resulted in many challenges for our member credit unions in making, processing, and disbursing loans.

Although money did flow to small businesses through the PPP, the launch and relaunch of the PPP after the second round of funding was difficult for both borrowers and financial institutions, as there were many problems with the process. Credit unions have seen incremental fixes to initial issues that challenged the lending process and vexed borrowers. But now, with funds still available, it appears businesses are reluctant to borrow. Some reasons for this might be confusion over aspects of the program, such as loan forgiveness, which still are not sufficiently developed.

We remind the Committee and Treasury Department that credit unions are a vital component to the delivery of financial services to many Americans and that credit union members should have equal access to the PPP just as those that choose to borrow from large banks. At times during the initial phase and start of the second phase of the PPP, access was not uniform across all financial institutions, which may have frustrated Americans that accessed PPP loans through smaller financial institutions. Loan processing speed is not usually a problem with most lending products, but with the race to lend what was a finite amount of funds, speed of approval was the difference between a business or individual receiving a loan that saves them or their employees from hardship or being left with no assistance. As the PPP will likely run out of funds again, the SBA must further refine its process to ensure equal access by borrowers regardless of the financial institution they choose as their lender.

CUNA recently submitted a comment letter to the SBA outlining ongoing challenges and concerns with the PPP, some of which are included briefly in this letter.
Although the pace of PPP lending has slowed operational challenges remain and should be addressed if additional funding is provided. These include:

- Lack of support from the SBA to provide timely feedback on issues;
- Lack of updating guidance and forms to reflect privately insured state-chartered credit unions are eligible to be PPP lenders;
- Lender prioritization guidance;
- Official guidance formalizing the use of SBA forms; and
- Lack of guidance on the purchasing process of loans.

Credit unions expressed concern with liability stemming from the PPP at the outset of the program. Nevertheless, credit unions charged ahead and made loans to desperate small businesses before many aspects of the PPP were developed. Now, partially as a consequence of this planned concurrence, lawsuits are being filed to remedy perceived issues with aspects of the PPP. The interim final rules provided little guidance on critical aspects of the program, such as the documentation required to determine eligibility, the process for submission and approval of the loans by the SBA, the collection of servicing fees, and the determination of funds to be forgiven. Historically, collection of SBA guarantees has proved challenging and, at times, frustrating. This lack of guidance shifts too much liability to the lender and, despite the guarantee, creates too much process risk relative to the limited interest rate.

Lawsuits have recently been filed over agent compensation and the denial of loans to certain types of business. It remains to be seen whether financial institutions even had impact over these and many other issues, but as the lenders, many will be dragged into lawsuits with little ability to address risk prior to making PPP loans. The SBA must address lender liability or it will risk losing lenders willing to make PPP loans.

Congress needs to act to relieve borrowers that carried out the PPP in good faith from any liability stemming from the structure and design of the PPP.

As you know, the linchpin of PPP is loan forgiveness. This unique feature effectively turns a PPP loan into a grant if specific conditions are met for the use of the borrowed funds. Loan forgiveness helps support businesses by providing them funding to pay employees and certain other expenses that will not have to be repaid, allowing businesses to stay solvent in a time of decreased revenues.

As the PPP transitions from lending money to small businesses to the loan forgiveness phase, lenders and borrowers are starting to wrestle with the requirements for loan forgiveness. The size and sophistication of borrowers varies widely from large public corporations to the self-employed. In fact, some credit unions made PPP loans to members for less than $1,000. Although borrower size and sophistication varies widely, we suspect that nearly all borrowers envision applying for and receiving forgiveness for these loans.

Credit unions are concerned that the recently published application for loan forgiveness is overly complex for most businesses. The complexity of the forgiveness process presents an even greater challenge for small business as they have fewer resources to deploy on an overly complex application process. Moreover, feedback from our members indicates that the forms will likely require help from outside accountants and even attorneys for most businesses. This is an expense many of the smallest businesses cannot afford. Creating an overly complex forgiveness process would seem to be the antithesis to the spirit of a program designed to rapidly deploy resources to small business especially when the expectation is that the funds appropriated to PPP were never expected to be repaid.

Particularly troubling for small businesses is calculating covered expenses. The process requires that business make several calculations to determine the highest covered expenses. This requires borrowers to determine if it is better to use the traditional covered period, or the alternative covered period. Payroll costs can include items as far ranging as salary, wages, commission, and cash tips to parental, family, medical or sick leave, and payment for the provision...
of employee benefits consisting of group health care coverage, insurance premiums, and retirement among many other items. For an independent contractor or sole proprietor, wages, commissions, income, or net earnings from self-employment, or similar compensation must be determined. The process becomes even more complex if a small business has to consider pension costs. These are cumbersome calculations to make without assistance.

Credit unions are concerned borrowers may rely on them for assistance in checking whether businesses have properly calculated forgiveness amounts. Although credit unions are required to review a forgiveness application and supporting documentation to make a forgiveness decision, this review process should not be relied on by borrowers to help complete applications. The calculation worksheet provided in the guidance is very helpful, but this is a serious undertaking for any borrower to calculate correctly.

We recommend that Treasury and the SBA simplify the forgiveness application process for loans under $350,000. This threshold captures the vast majority of loans and is the amount at which the CARES Act makes the lowest cutoff in determining lender processing fees. The agencies should consider making forgiveness of these loans automatic or require a simply good faith certification that the funds were spent on forgivable expenses.

On behalf of America’s credit unions and their 115 million members, thank you for holding this important hearing.

Sincerely,

Jim Nussle
President & CEO

Attached:

- May 15, 2020 – letter submitted to Administrator Carranza
- May 29, 2020 – letter submitted to Secretary Mnuchin and Administrator Carranza
May 15, 2020

The Honorable Jovita Carranza  
Administrator  
U.S. Small Business Administration  
409 3rd Street, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20416

Re: Docket Nos. SBA-2020-0015  
SBA-2020-0019  
SBA-2020-0020  
SBA-2020-0021  
SBA-2020-0022

The Credit Union National Association (CUNA) appreciates the opportunity to submit comments to the Small Business Administration (SBA) in response to the request for comment regarding the implementation of the Payroll Protection Program (PPP). CUNA represents America’s credit unions and their 115 million members.

Because of the unique nature and compressed timeframe in which the PPP was created and implemented, the SBA issued five interim final rules providing additional information and answering questions. Since the interim final rules are all related, CUNA will provide a single comment letter for all of SBA’s interim final rules.

We thank the SBA and its staff for their diligent work in putting together a new lending program in record time. Credit unions welcomed the opportunity to help Americans by making PPP loans to small businesses that desperately needed help. We understand that rolling out this unique lending program was challenging for the SBA and clearly the product was still being developed as credit unions and other lenders were originating loans. Unfortunately, as was expected, the compressed timeframe in which the PPP was enacted and implemented resulted in many challenges for our member credit unions in making, processing, and disbursing loans. Questions and concerns have morphed as the program is now almost 45 days old.

As noted in our April 3, 2020, letter to the SBA, CUNA’s chief concern was the compressed timeline for the program’s implementation and publishing of guidelines. On Day 1 of PPP, these concerns were mainly operational and focused on the process of making loans. Now, our concerns encompass issues extending to the loan forgiveness process, purchase of loans, and liability to our member credit unions for making loans. As the program continues to mature, and possibly get an extension by Congress, we strongly urge the SBA to be forward-looking and to
make adjustments to the program to protect lenders from looming threats. We do not believe that credit unions or any lender that made a good faith effort to adhere to the limited guidance offered for the program should suffer any financial loss or legal liability from PPP. Thus, the SBA should protect the lenders that are implementing its program.

Although money did flow to small businesses through PPP, the launch and relaunch of PPP after the second round of funding was difficult for both borrowers and financial institutions, as there were many problems with the process. Credit unions have seen incremental fixes to initial issues that challenged the lending process and vexed borrowers. But now, with funds still available, it appears that businesses are reluctant to borrow. Some reasons for this might be confusion over aspects of the program, such as loan forgiveness, which still are not sufficiently developed.

We remind the SBA that credit unions are a vital component to the delivery of financial services to many Americans and that credit union members should have equal access to PPP just as those that choose to borrow from large banks. At times during the initial phase and start of the second phase of PPP, access was not uniform across all financial institutions, which may have frustrated Americans that accessed PPP loans through smaller financial institutions. Loan processing speed is not usually a problem with most lending products, but with the race to lend what was a finite amount of funds, speed of approval was the difference between a business or individual receiving a loan the saves them or their employees from hardship or being left with no assistance. As PPP will likely run out of funds again, the SBA must further refine its process to ensure equal access by borrowers regardless of the financial institution they choose as their lender.

Operational Issues

CUNA understands that SBA and its staff were given a difficult task in developing the PPP within a short time period and we appreciate the effort. Nevertheless, credit unions have indicated that getting general questions answered and access to the SBA lending platform have at times been challenging. The SBA must actively support lenders by providing necessary support. Lack of support can derail a lending program, leading to frustrated lenders and borrowers. SBA should work to bolster its mechanisms for answering technical and operational questions and supporting lenders.

The SBA needs to clarify that all credit unions, including privately insured state-chartered credit unions, are eligible to be PPP lenders. The CARES Act (Pub. L. 116-136) signed by the president on March 27 included a definition for credit union that excluded privately insured state-chartered credit unions from the definition of depository institutions that were eligible lenders. The Paycheck Protection Program and Health Care Enhancement Act (Pub. L. 116-139) signed into law on April 24, 2020 updated that definition of credit union to include privately insured state-chartered credit unions as eligible lenders. We request that SBA clarify that state-chartered credit unions are eligible lenders in an interim final rule or final rule. Furthermore, Lender Agreement (Form 3506) should be updated to include this amendment as well.

Lender prioritization of borrowers was an initial problem with PPP which resulted in large businesses with separate subsidiaries being approved for many large loans. These borrowers were given priority treatment by large lenders, which contributed to the rapid depletion of the original $349 billion allocated by Congress. Although not currently a problem as there are funds available under the second allocation, the SBA should address lender prioritization of borrowers and discretion in choosing borrowers throughout the process. At this point, it is difficult to
predict whether loan demand will spike again, but the guidance should address this issue moving forward so that borrowers are treated fairly.

Credit unions and other lenders may have used a variety of forms through the lending process that were not developed or specifically approved by the SBA. Credit unions are concerned that the use of these forms could impact their ability to administer certain loan features or otherwise result in difficulties with the SBA as issues may arise with these loans. The SBA indicated to lenders that they, for example, were free to use non-PPP specific loan notes and loan authorization forms before an approved loan is disbursed. Because of the loan forgiveness feature and the other factors that make PPP loans unique among SBA loans, that assurance is falling short for credit unions. A final rule or guidance should formalize the policy that non-SBA forms will not impact lender or borrower rights under PPP.

The SBA should also develop guidance on the process that it will use to purchase PPP loans from lenders. As CUNA noted before, PPP loans were originated before many aspects of the lending program were developed. Questions that SBA should address include the proper forms that should be used, and the limitation on timing and methods for handling sold loans that become problematic or develop other issues.

The SBA’s first interim final rule, posted April 2, 2020, outlined the information that a lender must provide in the report to the SBA requesting advance purchase of a PPP loan with the expected forgiveness amount. This report must include, in addition to the Forms 2483 and 2484, any supporting documentation, “a detailed narrative explaining the assumptions used in determining the expected forgiveness amount, the basis for those assumptions, alternative assumptions considered, and why alternative assumptions were not used; any information obtained from the borrower since the loan was disbursed that the lender used to determine the expected forgiveness amount, which should include the same documentation required to apply for loan forgiveness such as payroll tax filings, cancelled checks, and other payment documentation; and any additional information the Administrator may require to determine whether the expected forgiveness amount is reasonable.” Credit unions are concerned that the process to complete these reports could present a significant burden without a template or form that clearly explains the level of detail required. Specific issues this template or guidance should address are how a lender must determine alternatives along with the number of alternatives.

Legal Issues

Credit unions expressed concern with liability stemming from PPP at the outset of the program. Nevertheless, credit unions charged ahead and made loans to desperate small businesses before many aspects of PPP were developed. Now, partially as a consequence of this planned concurrence, lawsuits are being filed to remedy perceived issues with aspects of PPP. The interim final rules provided little guidance on critical aspects of the program such as the documentation required to determine eligibility, the process for submission and approval of the loans by SBA, the collection of servicing fees, and the determination of funds to be forgiven. Historically, collection of SBA guarantees has proved challenging and, at times, frustrating. This lack of guidance shifts too much liability to the lender and, despite the guarantee, creates too much process risk relative to the limited interest rate.

Lawsuits have recently been filed over agent compensation and the denial of loans to certain types of business. It remains to be seen whether financial institutions even had impact over these and many other issues, but as the lenders, many will be dragged into lawsuits with little ability
to address risk prior to making PPP loans. The SBA must address lender liability or it will risk losing lenders willing to make PPP loans.

**Loan Forgiveness**

As you know, PPP loans essentially turn into a grant if certain criteria are met. The availability of loan forgiveness is likely the driving force behind the demand for PPP loans. Credit unions and other lenders need detailed guidance on all processes surrounding loan forgiveness as conflicting processes are likely to create problems and lead to litigation.

An example of confusing or unclear guidance is the amount of a loan that can be forgiven. Section 1106(d)(1) of the CARES Act provides that the amount forgiven “cannot exceed the principal amount” of the PPP loan. The third interim final rule states that “the full principal amount of the loans and any accrued interest may qualify for forgiveness.” The CARES Act and the third interim final rule appear to be in conflict making it impossible for a lender or borrower to feel comfortable in calculating the amount of a loan that can be forgiven. This discrepancy must be clarified so borrowers and lender can properly calculate and process loan forgiveness requests.

Credit unions are also concerned about the pacing mechanism used by SBA, and whether that will be used to handle loan forgiveness requests and loan purchase decisions. Furthermore, details on lender due diligence or validation lenders will be required to conduct on borrower submissions should be released soon so lenders can start the process of planning for forgiveness requests. This guidance should include methods on how financial institutions should calculate partial forgiveness requests when a borrower does not meet the 75% threshold for forgivable payroll costs.

Should you have any questions about CUNA’s comments, please feel free to contact me at 202.508.6705.

Sincerely,

Lance Noggle
Senior Director of Advocacy and Counsel Senior Counsel for Payments and Cybersecurity
May 29, 2020

The Honorable Steven Mnuchin  
Secretary  
U.S. Department of the Treasury  
1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20220

The Honorable Jovita Carranza  
Administrator  
U.S. Small Business Administration  
409 Third Street, SW  
Washington, DC 20416

Dear Secretary Mnuchin and Administrator Carranza:

We, the undersigned state credit union associations and leagues (Leagues), which comprise the American Association of Credit Union Leagues (AACUL), and the Credit Union National Association (CUNA), thank you for your hard work and ongoing leadership during this crisis. State credit union leagues, AACUL, and CUNA represent credit unions across the nation and their 115 million members.

We appreciate the Department of Treasury and Small Business Administration’s (SBA) diligent efforts to facilitate the Paycheck Protection Program (PPP) created by the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security (CARES) Act. PPP has provided loans to many small businesses in need across the United States during these challenging times. Credit unions have used this program to help their member small businesses meet important financial needs.

As you know, the linchpin of PPP is loan forgiveness. This unique feature effectively turns a PPP loan into a grant if specific conditions are met for the use of the borrowed funds. Loan forgiveness helps support businesses by providing them funding to pay employees and certain other expenses that will not have to be repaid, allowing businesses to stay solvent in a time of decreased revenues.

As the PPP transitions from lending money to small businesses to the loan forgiveness phase, lenders and borrowers are starting to wrestle with the requirements for loan forgiveness. The size and sophistication of borrowers varies widely from large public corporations to the self-employed. In fact, some credit unions made PPP loans to members for less than $1,000. Although borrower size and sophistication varies widely, we suspect that nearly all borrowers envision applying for and receiving forgiveness for these loans.

Credit unions are concerned that the recently published application for loan forgiveness is overly complex for most businesses. The complexity of the forgiveness process presents an even greater challenge for small business as they have fewer resources to deploy on an overly complex application process. Moreover, feedback from our members indicates that the forms will likely require help from outside accountants and even attorneys for most businesses. This is an expense many of the smallest businesses cannot afford. Creating an overly complex forgiveness process would seem to be the antithesis to the spirit of a program designed to rapidly deploy resources to small business especially when the expectation is that the funds appropriated to PPP were never expected to be repaid.

Particularly troubling for small businesses is calculating covered expenses. The process requires that business make several calculations to determine the highest covered expenses. This requires borrowers to determine if it is better to use the traditional covered period, or the alternative covered period. Payroll costs
can include items as far ranging as salary, wages, commission, and cash tips to parental, family, medical or sick leave, and payment for the provision of employee benefits consisting of group health care coverage, insurance premiums, and retirement among many other items. For an independent contractor or sole proprietor, wages, commissions, income, or net earnings from self-employment, or similar compensation must be determined. The process becomes even more complex if a small business has to consider pension costs. These are cumbersome calculations to make without assistance.

Credit unions are concerned borrowers may rely on them for assistance in checking whether business have properly calculated forgiveness amounts. Although credit unions are required to review a forgiveness application and supporting documentation to make a forgiveness decision, this review process should not be relied on by borrowers to help complete applications. The calculation worksheet provided in the guidance is very helpful, but this is a serious undertaking for any borrower to calculate correctly.

We recommend that Treasury and the SBA revamp the forgiveness application process for loans under $350,000. This threshold captures the vast majority of loans and is the amount at which the CARES Act makes the lowest cutoff in determining lender processing fees. Furthermore, the process could be simplified even more or made automatic for the smallest of borrowers requiring nothing more than a good faith certification that the funds were spent on forgivable expenses. This threshold could be set much lower, for example at $50,000.

Credit unions are committed to providing financial services to Americans through this crisis and recovery. On behalf of the state credit union leagues, AACUL, CUNA and our 115 million members, thank you for your leadership during this crisis and the consideration of our views.

Sincerely,

Jim Nussle, President and CEO
Credit Union National Association

Diana Dykstra, AACUL Chair
President and CEO
California & Nevada Credit Union League

Daniel McCue, President and CEO
Alaska Credit Union League

Bruce Adams, President & CEO
Credit Union League of Connecticut

Dan Schline, President and CEO
Carolinas Credit Union League

Ron McLean, President and CEO
Cooperative Credit Union Association
Caroline Willard, President and CEO  
Cornerstone Credit Union League

Patrick Conway, President and CEO  
CrossState Credit Union Association

Jeff Olson, President and CEO  
Credit Union Association of the Dakotas

Dennis Tanimoto, President and CEO  
Hawaii Credit Union League

Brad Douglas, President and CEO  
Heartland Credit Union Association

John McKenzie, President and CEO  
Indiana Credit Union League

Murray Williams, President and CEO  
Iowa Credit Union League

Debbie Painter, President and CEO  
Kentucky Credit Union League

Patrick La Pine, President and CEO  
League of Southeastern Credit Unions

Bob Gallman, President and CEO  
Louisiana Credit Union League

Tom Kane, President and CEO  
Illinois Credit Union System

Todd Mason, President and CEO  
Maine Credit Union League
John Bratsakis, President and CEO
Maryland | DC Credit Union Association

J. Scott Sullivan, President and CEO
Nebraska Credit Union League & Affiliates

Dave Adams, President and CEO
Michigan Credit Union League & Affiliates

Paul Stull, President and CEO
Credit Union Association of New Mexico

Mark Cummins, President and CEO
Minnesota Credit Union Network

William Mellin, President and CEO
New York Credit Union Association

Charles Elliott, President and CEO
Mississippi Credit Union Association

Troy Stang, President and CEO
Northwest Credit Union Association

Tracie Kenyon, President and CEO
Montana’s Credit Unions

Paul Mercer, President and CEO
Ohio Credit Union League

Scott Earl, President and CEO
Mountain West Credit Union Association

Fred Robinson, President and CEO
Tennessee Credit Union League
Scott Simpson, President and CEO
Utah Credit Union Association

Joe Bergeron, President and CEO
Association of Vermont Credit Unions

Rick Pillow, President and CEO
Virginia Credit Union League

Ken Watts, President and CEO
West Virginia Credit Union League

Brett Thompson, President and CEO
Wisconsin Credit Union League